- 1 Q And it was operational. Is that correct?
- 2 A It was.
- 3 Q Do you know the date that it became operational?
- A I'd have to look at the 800A because that's close
- 5 to the date that it would have. Let me look and see.
- 6 Q I believe Exhibit 60 is the 800A.
- 7 A Yes. Let me look and see. About 5/6/97, along
- 8 that period of time. That information generally comes from
- 9 the service manager after he got it put up and turned on.
- 10 Q Is her station still operational?
- 11 A It is.
- 12 Q Okay. Are you still using it in the business of
- 13 DLB and Metroplex?
- 14 A We are.
- 15 Q Is it fully loaded? Is that channel fully loaded?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q When you requested that Ms. Lutz obtain a license
- in her name, did you have any agreement with her regarding
- 19 management of the license?
- 20 A Verbal.
- 21 Q And what were the terms of that agreement?
- 22 A That as long as the license were there, that she
- had the rights to do whatever she felt like she could do.
- 24 should do with the license. She could cancel it, she could
- sell it, she could do whatever she needed to do. If she

- 1 sold it, I'd like to have the first request to buy it.
- Q Okay. And you specifically covered all these
- 3 points with her?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And she agreed to that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q At any point in time, did you have a written
- 8 management agreement with Carolyn Lutz?
- 9 A We gave her a written agreement to review and to
- 10 sign and give back to us.
- 11 Q When did you present that to her?
- 12 A Some time in 1998, I think. It's when all the
- other management agreements were made. I don't know the
- 14 exact date.
- 15 Q It was after the beginning of the investigation
- 16 into this case by the FCC.
- 17 A Yes. Yes.
- 18 Q And did she sign the written management agreement?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Did she offer a counteroffer to the terms of the
- 21 management agreement that you presented to her?
- 22 A Yes, she did.
- Q What were those terms of the counteroffer that she
- 24 added?
- A I'd have to read it to you. It's substantially a

- lot of changes that she wanted. And if it's in your
- 2 document, I can read it out loud.
- Q I don't know if it's in my documents. I don't
- 4 believe it's in my documents.
- 5 Do you recall from your memory what the variation
- in terms was, how she changed the management agreement that
- 7 you had presented to her?
- 8 A She wanted to keep full authority of FCC rules in
- 9 her hands. She wanted to have an increase in -- I think it
- 10 was an increase in salary, increase in vacation time, and a
- 11 lot of other little rules that she wanted and also
- 12 additional type of money, so much per profit and everything
- else, per each year. It's a very substantial change.
- 14 Q She wanted an accounting also, didn't she, of what
- revenues and expenses and profits pertained to that station?
- 16 A Well, let's look and see. You know --
- 17 Q Do you recall that?
- 18 A No, I do not recall that.
- 19 Q And the changes that she requested were not
- 20 acceptable to you?
- 21 A It moved over into where we have two weeks
- 22 vacation, that kind of policy, it was unacceptable by us.
- Q Okay. Do you have any type of management
- agreement for station WPJR763 at the current time?
- 25 A What --

- 1 Q That's Carolyn Lutz's station. Same station.
- 2 A No. We do not. Do not.
- 3 Q So at this point you are managing and operating
- 4 that station without any sort of agreement?
- 5 A Her verbal agreement still stands.
- 6 Q As far as you're concerned, the verbal agreement
- 7 is still valid.
- 8 A That's right.
- 9 Q Did Ms. Lutz have access to the tower site where
- 10 her station is located?
- 11 A She had access to it.
- 12 Q She did? She could get in?
- 13 A She sure could.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When you say the tower site,
- 15 I presume that if you wanted to, you could drive your car up
- to the tower site, so therefore you would have access to the
- 17 tower site, but you might not be able to get through the
- 18 chain link fence, so I think you want to sharpen the
- 19 question.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 21 Q She knew that her station was located in Allen,
- 22 Texas?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Would she have a combination to the door to get
- 25 into -- I believe you testified earlier there is a little

- 1 room or house or something at the tower. Is that correct?
- 2 A Blockhouse.
- 3 Q Blockhouse. And that that blockhouse is kept
- 4 locked with a combination lock.
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q Would she have been able to get into the
- 7 blockhouse?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O She knew the combination?
- 10 A She knew where to get it out of the book. Yes.
- 11 Q So if only knowing how to get it out of the book
- 12 qualifies as access, anyone that worked for DLB would have
- access to that information. Is that correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q Did she know how to turn the station off or on?
- 16 A That I do not know.
- Q Okay. Did she have any say in where you applied
- for a station, what site you applied to when you put in an
- 19 application on her behalf?
- 20 A Yes. We told her.
- Q Okay. If she didn't want to be in Allen, could
- she have told you somewhere else, she wanted to be somewhere
- 23 else?
- 24 A She could have told us.
- 25 Q And would you have applied in her name for another

1	location?
2	A No.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: What if she went off the air?
4	Opened the combination, door, whatever you said there was
5	a chain link fence around the blockhouse?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: And there was a combination on
8	the chain link fence?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: And once you got through there,
11	was there another combination on the blockhouse or you just
12	opened the door the blockhouse?
13	THE WITNESS: I think you just opened the door to
14	the blockhouse.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: And by blockhouse, I picture
16	like a cinder block
17	THE WITNESS: Correct.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: A rectangle.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: With some kind of a roof on it.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: And with all this equipment
23	laying out there.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Could she go in there and know

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

24

25

- 1 which equipment pertained to her station? 2 THE WITNESS: Knowing her frequency, which she has 3 a copy of the license, she could. 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Are they labeled? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE STEINBERG: And is there an on/off switch? 6 THE WITNESS: 7 Yes. JUDGE STEINBERG: And so she could have just gone 8 up there and pushed the off switch and turned it off? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: And then what would have happened if she had actually -- she never did that, did she? 12 THE WITNESS: 13 No. 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So if I asked you what 15 would have happened if she did do that, there would be an 16 objection because it's speculative. 17 Right? 18 MR. ROMNEY: That's correct. JUDGE STEINBERG: So I'll save you the time. 19 What would you have ruled? 20 MR. ROMNEY: JUDGE STEINBERG: I would have sustained it 21
- BY MS. LANCASTER:

22

Q Did Ms. Lutz ever offer any direction to you regarding who she wanted to work on matters pertaining to

because it is. I told you that yesterday.

- 1 her station?
- 2 A Not directly to me. She could to the service
- 3 manager.
- 4 Q And she did that as part of her job duties there?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q Since she has -- when did she leave DLB's
- 7 employment?
- 8 A I think September of the year 2000 -- 2000.
- 9 Q Okay. Could she still go in tomorrow if she
- wanted to, could she go into the blockhouse?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q You would tell her the combination to the lock?
- 13 A No, she probably remembered it. She kept a lot of
- 14 things in her mind.
- 15 Q She would not have any access at this point.
- 16 A It's still the same number.
- 17 Q Okay. But if she didn't remember it, would you
- 18 tell it to her?
- 19 A I would not.
- Q Would you allow anyone at DLB to give her that
- 21 combination?
- 22 A I'd have to be faced with that, Judy. That's just
- a guess on my part. I say I would not. Now, what would
- happen with the other people at DLB, I do not know.
- 25 Q If Ms. Lutz called DLB today and said she wanted

- only certain people to work on anything pertaining to her
- 2 station, would that request be honored?
- MR. ROMNEY: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Sustained.
- 5 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 6 Q She has no control at this point over who works on
- 7 anything pertaining to her station, does she?
- 8 A Let me ask you this --
- 9 Q No, don't ask me anything, Mr. Brasher. Please
- 10 answer my question.
- 11 A State it again.
- 12 Q It's a yes or no question.
- 13 A State it again.
- 14 Q Ms. Lutz has no control at this point over the
- 15 personnel who work on her station.
- 16 A Yes, she does.
- 17 Q And how does she have control over that?
- 18 A She can hire anybody in the world to go up there
- 19 and let them work in that station.
- 20 And if she doesn't know the combination to the
- lock, you're going to give that to her so that whoever she
- 22 hires can go in and work on the station?
- A I would not give it. She knows that combination.
- Q I'm saying if she does not know it.
- 25 A I will not. Myself will not.

- 1 Q Would DLB give that combination to anyone who
- 2 wanted to go work on that station?
- MR. ROMNEY: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't think so.
- 5 If you can answer it.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Would DLB?
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I would not know that.
- 9 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 10 O Who would know that?
- 11 A It would be a question to them and they'd have to
- 12 say they don't know if they would or not.
- 13 Q That's what they'd have to say? There would be no
- 14 policy --
- 15 A No, I don't know.
- 16 Q There's no policy at DLB about giving out the
- 17 combination to the Allen site?
- 18 A Judy, there is probably seven or eight companies
- 19 that's got that same combination in there. If she called
- 20 Champion and said go up there and cut my repeater off,
- 21 Champion has that same combination as we do. It's a uniform
- 22 combination, it's not just for us.
- 23 Q Champion has no obligation to Ms. Lutz, however,
- 24 do they?
- 25 A If she hires them.

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: Who is Champion? Is that a
2	company that services repeaters and controllers?
3	THE WITNESS: They're one of our competitors.
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, okay.
5	BY MS. LANCASTER:
6	Q But Champion does not manage that station, do
7	they?
8	A No.
9	Q You manage that station.
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you have any obligation to take direction from
12	Ms. Lutz regarding the operation of that station?
13	A If she gives us directions as a license holder we
14	do have an obligation.
15	Q Has she ever given you any directions?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Has she given you any directions since left your
18	employ?
19	A No. That I know. Because I you know
20	Q Since she left your employ, has DLB and/or
21	Metroplex provided Ms. Lutz any information regarding the
22	revenues received from operation of her station?
23	A Since she left?
24	Q Yes.

А

No.

25

- 1 Q Have you provided her with any information
- 2 regarding the expenses paid out for operation of her
- 3 station?
- 4 A Let me retract the last one. As far as I know,
- 5 when I left in '98, it was not done. Now I do not know.
- 6 Q So any of your answers are going to be given only
- 7 as far as whatever the date was that you left.
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 O And that's the end of '98?
- 10 A November of '98.
- 11 Q Do you still have access to the financial records
- 12 of DLB?
- 13 A I do not look at them, I do not review them.
- 14 Q You still have access to them.
- 15 A Yes, as a shareholder.
- 16 O And you still go into DLB's office?
- 17 A Pick up mail, do things like that, that's about
- 18 it.
- 19 O You don't do any work at DLB any more?
- 20 A Besides customary things that I usually do and
- 21 chit chat with the employees, no.
- 22 Q What does customary things you usually do mean?
- 23 A Back to the Coke machine and all the stuff like
- 24 that, see if I got any kind of mail, answer any kind of
- questions that someone may call. And that's about it.

- 1 Q You don't do any substantive work for DLB at this
- 2 point?
- 3 A Describe that, Judy.
- 4 MR. ROMNEY: Could we have a timeframe? Are we
- 5 talking this week, last week?
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: All these questions, my
- 7 understanding was, are after the period of time that he
- 8 retired.
- 9 Is that correct, Ms. Lancaster?
- MR. ROMNEY: She's been using the word currently.
- 11 I don't know what that means.
- 12 JUDGE STEINBERG: After he retired, these
- questions are what he's done at the company and what he's
- 14 not done at the company from the time he retired to now and
- 15 that's currently.
- MR. ROMNEY: Well, I don't think that's -- 1998
- until 2001 is a long period of time. I don't think that's
- 18 necessarily currently.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me ask the witness.
- Were you confused by the questions? Did you
- 21 understand what Ms. Lancaster was asking when she said
- 22 currently?
- THE WITNESS: Some of it. And I'm trying to just
- answer what I do know on it, some of the answers would be.
- You know, like for example, I do go in and --

- JUDGE STEINBERG: This is from, what December '98?
- THE WITNESS: November.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: November '98 to now.
- 4 Do you want to start again?
- MS. LANCASTER: Let me backtrack a little bit,
- 6 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And just preface everything from
- 8 November '98 to now.
- 9 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 10 Q You do recall giving a deposition in November,
- 11 last November, don't you?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And at that time, how many hours were going in or
- 14 doing work for DLB each week?
- 15 A It depends. Some days I didn't even go in and the
- other times it's whenever I was working on this situation
- 17 now. And if I had a lot of stuff I needed to do, requests
- 18 from attorneys, I'd spend a little bit more hours there.
- 19 Q On average, how many hours?
- 20 A Usually get there early in the morning and then
- leave about one, something like that. Most of the time, on
- 22 days when I was there.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You said early in the morning
- 24 was about quarter to six?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. And then I sat down and read

- 1 the paper and had coffee.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Until everybody else comes i.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. And they came in about 8:00,
- 4 8:30, along through there.
- 5 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 6 Q And when you left at -- you're now saying 1:00,
- 7 did you run errands on behalf of DLB?
- 8 A Sometimes I did. If I was going home and there
- 9 was something, a piece of equipment needed to be dropped off
- to a customer along my way, definitely I dropped that off.
- 11 O Were you participating in the day-to-day
- operations of DLB back in November, this past November?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: November 2000?
- MS. LANCASTER: 2000.
- THE WITNESS: 2000? No.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 17 O Were you participating in financial decisions in
- 18 November of 200 that were made on behalf of DLB?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Were you participating in any policy decisions
- 21 made on behalf of DLB in November of 2000?
- 22 A No. And if I did, it would be as a shareholder,
- but, no, I did not.
- Q Did you have anything to do with the management of
- 25 these licenses -- let's just stick with this one. The

- 1 management of Carolyn Lutz's license as of November 1, 2000?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q How about management of any of the Sumpter
- 4 licenses? As of November 1, 2000?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q When was the last time that you participated in
- 7 the day-to-day operations of DLB and Metroplex?
- 8 A Day-to-day --
- 9 Or Metroplex.
- 10 A Or Metroplex? It would probably be just about
- November 15th, along through that period of time.
- 12 Q November 15th of what year?
- 13 A 1998.
- 14 Q When did you actually retire from DLB/Metroplex?
- 15 A November 15, 1998.
- 16 Q So it's now your testimony that subsequent to your
- 17 retirement, you have not participated in the day-to-day
- 18 operations of DLB/Metroplex?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q And subsequent to your retirement, you have not
- 21 participated in any financial decisions relative to DLB
- 22 and/or Metroplex?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q And subsequent to your retirement you have not had
- anything to do with the management of any station or

- licenses managed by DLB/Metroplex?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 (Pause.)
- 4 MS. LANCASTER: Can we go off the record for one
- 5 moment, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. Let's go off the record.
- 7 (A brief recess was taken.)
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
- 9 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 10 Q Who receives the monies that come in as a result
- of operation of Carolyn Lutz's station?
- 12 A DLB/Metroplex Two Way.
- 13 Q And who pays any operational costs that are
- incurred as a result of management of Carolyn Lutz's
- 15 station?
- 16 A The tower site is paid on the Brasher account
- 17 which is a company account and the rest is paid by
- 18 DLB/Metroplex.
- 19 Q Who owns the repeater used by Carolyn Lutz's
- 20 station?
- 21 A Brasher personally.
- 22 Q And is that repeater then rented to DLB?
- 23 A That's correct.
- Q And part of the operational expenses of operating
- 25 Carolyn Lutz's station would be the rent on that equipment?

- 1 A The rent on the equipment or the site rent?
- Q Well, right now, I'm asking you about the rent on
- 3 the equipment.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And then there would also be a separate site
- 6 rental fee. Is that correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And who is that paid to?
- 9 A The site people.
- 10 Q And who are they?
- 11 A American Towers.
- 12 Q Do you know how much the site rental payment is
- 13 each month?
- 14 A No. I do know what it was back before I left.
- 15 O How much was?
- 16 A About 375 plus electricity, close to \$400 apiece.
- 17 Q Okay. And is that the site rent for each of the
- 18 stations located at that site?
- 19 A That I could not tell you.
- 21 for each station located at that site?
- 22 A It could be. Between the time it was turned on or
- 23 the contract was made, some was under one contract, some
- could be under another one, and as the contractor renewed
- most of the time, the tower people raised the rent, so

- I don't know. It's a break in that number of dollars by
- 2 repeater site, whether you have a repeater or not.
- 3 Q So you don't know whether or not they charge per
- 4 repeater.
- 5 A They do charge per repeater, but if one repeater
- 6 goes on in the first part of one month and then three months
- 7 later another repeater goes on, it could be another
- 8 contract, that same site, but at a different rate.
- 9 Q So as I'm understanding it, you don't know what
- 10 rate is charged for the site rental for Ms. Lutz's station.
- 11 A When I left, it was 375 plus electricity which was
- probably \$20, \$30, maybe even more.
- 13 Q Okay. And that just covered her station, it
- 14 didn't cover anybody else's station.
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: Will you give me that number
- 17 again?
- 18 THE WITNESS: \$375 for the site and then
- 19 electricity was \$20, \$30 onto that. It varied by month, air
- 20 conditioning.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Thank you.
- 22 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 23 Q Who paid for the construction of Ms. Lutz's
- 24 station?
- 25 A You need to be a little clearer on that.

- 1 Construction? They're just a black box about two by three
- 2 by two. And you just -- you know, you tune it and most of
- 3 the time it comes in with the frequency there, tune it, mark
- 4 it, be sure it's running and then take it up and put it in
- and tie the connectors and wires to it. That work was done
- 6 by Metroplex Two Way.
- 7 Q Okay. Metroplex installed the black box that
- 8 you're talking about.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you and Pat paid for the black box. Is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Correct. Correct.
- Q Who paid the Metroplex employees to install the
- 14 black box?
- 15 A DLB/Metroplex.
- 16 Q Was Carolyn Lutz ever required to pay any monies
- 17 toward the cost of installation of that black box?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Was she ever required to pay any monies towards
- 20 the cost of that black box?
- 21 A No.
- Q Was she ever required to pay any monies at all
- relating to her license?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Did you ever discuss payments of any monies

- 1 towards any of these costs with her?
- 2 A I would not have.
- 3 Q And I believe you stated that she never has
- 4 received any money for the use of her license. Is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Only what she would use for her radio system.
- 7 That's correct.
- 8 Q No cash.
- 9 A That I know of. No. No cash.
- 10 O Does she still have her radio?
- 11 A No.
- 12 O When did she turn that in?
- 13 A Two or three days after she resigned.
- 14 Q So at the present time, she is receiving no
- payment at all for the use of her station.
- 16 A That's correct. As far as I know. Now, not being
- 17 there, I do not know. I'll say I do not know.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you ask her to return the
- 19 radio?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: After she left the employment of
- 22 DLB?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. The company did,
- 24 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Who on behalf of the company

- 1 asked? If you know.
- THE WITNESS: I think it would have probably been
- 3 Diane because she handled the separation.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: You didn't do it personally.
- 5 THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 7 Q Did you participate in the decision to get the
- 8 radio back from Carolyn when she quit?
- 9 A I was not there.
- 10 Q Did anyone from DLB/Metroplex ask your opinion as
- 11 to whether or not they should get the radio back from
- 12 Carolyn?
- 13 A Not my opinion.
- 14 Q Did you talk to anybody at DLB and/or Metroplex
- 15 about that decision?
- 16 A Not that I know of.
- 17 Q When were you informed that she had been asked to
- 18 turn the radio back in?
- 19 A I don't know. I just know that it was turned back
- in, but I don't know what day or what time or anything like
- 21 that. A week or so afterwards or something like that,
- 22 I quess.
- 23 Q I'm sorry, I think I interrupted you. Did you say
- a week or so after it had occurred?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And that was a yes?
- 2 A That was a yes.
- 3 (Pause.)
- 4 Q Mr. Brasher, would you please turn to Exhibit 17?
- 5 A I'm there.
- 6 Q This is a response filed on behalf of DLB to a
- 7 Commission inquiry and on page 8 of Exhibit 17 --
- 3 JUDGE STEINBERG: And you want the little typed
- 9 number 8?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 12 Q There appears to be your signature and it appears
- to be a handwritten date of 12/4/98.
- 14 Is that your signature?
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q And did you write the date?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q Okay. And this was submitted to the Commission
- 19 with your permission?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q You had a chance to review it prior to its
- 22 submission to the Commission?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, having a chance and
- 25 actually reviewing it are two different things.

- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Did you actually review it?
- 3 A I'm sure I did.
- 4 Q Let's look at Exhibit 19, which is the big
- 5 exhibit.
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: That's the giant exhibit.
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And it's, I assume, the very
- 9 last page, right? The next to the last page.
- MS. LANCASTER: Bates stamp page 630.
- 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- 12 (Pause.)
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'm there.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 15 Q Bates stamp page 630 appears to be -- or is a
- verification that appears to have your signature on it.
- 17 Did you sign that page?
- 18 A I did.
- 19 O And just for identification purposes, this was a
- 20 submission, Exhibit 19 is a submission made to the FCC on
- 21 your behalf and on behalf of DLB. Is that correct?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You don't have to flip through
- the whole book, just the first page.
- THE WITNESS: The first page? I don't remember
- seeing all this stuff, all this at one time, that's for

- 1 sure.
- 2 (Pause.)
- THE WITNESS: I'm at the front, the first page.
- 4 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 5 Q This was submitted to the Commission on behalf of
- 6 DLB? Is that correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And you were consulted about its submission by
- 9 Schwaninger & Associates?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Did you gather the materials that are part of this
- 12 exhibit?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: If you need time to look at it,
- we'll take all the time you want. It's a big exhibit. Or
- there's an alternative and that is we could take a break and
- during the break you can have an opportunity to look at the
- 17 materials and then when we come back from the break you can
- answer the question, however you want to do it. I don't
- 19 care.
- Do you want to have an opportunity to look at this
- 21 stuff?
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'd like to scan through it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure. Okay.
- Do you have any objection to that?
- MS. LANCASTER: No, sir.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't we break until 10:30.
- 2 (A brief recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: We're back on the record.
- 4 Mr. Brasher, during the break, did you review
- 5 Exhibit 19, including all the attachments to the letter?
- 6 THE WITNESS: I did, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Ms. Lancaster?
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 9 Q I believe that I had asked you whether you had
- 10 gathered the documents that were included in Exhibit 19. Is
- 11 that correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q Did you?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And you reviewed Exhibit 19 prior to its
- 16 submission to the FCC?
- 17 A I did.
- 18 Q Exhibit 25 is your response to the Bureau's
- 19 request for admissions of fact. Was that sent to the
- 20 Commission on your behalf by your attorneys, Mr. Brasher?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q And on page 8 of this exhibit, there's an
- 23 affidavit that appears to have your signature. Did you sign
- 24 page 8? Did you sign that affidavit?
- 25 A I did.

- 1 Q Did you have a opportunity to review the
- 2 Commission's questions and to review the responses that were
- 3 sent back to the Commission in response to those questions?
- 4 A I did.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Now, you used again "the
- 6 opportunity".
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: I'm sorry.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 9 Q Did you review the questions that the Commission
- 10 asked? The request for admissions, did you review them?
- 11 A I did.
- 12 Q Did you review your answers to the Commission's
- 13 request for admissions before your answers were sent back to
- 14 the Commission?
- 15 A I did.
- 16 (Pause.)
- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I would like offer
- into evidence three pages from the deposition of
- 19 Mr. Sumpter. It's his testimony that occurred --
- 20 Mr. Brasher, I'm sorry. It is testimony that occurred on
- December 5, 2000. And the pages in the amended copy of that
- document are page 233 through 236.
- MR. ROMNEY: Excuse me, Your Honor. Could I have
- 24 the pages in the prior version?
- MS. LANCASTER: I'll have to find a copy to tell

- 1 you what those pages are.
- 2 JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you have copies for the
- 3 reporter and everybody else?
- 4 MS. LANCASTER: I do not have copies at this time
- 5 but before he closes we can go make copies, Your Honor, of
- 6 these three pages.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Why don't you go make the
- 8 copies for everybody and then we'll get it identified.
- 9 MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- 10 (Pause.)
- 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: Is there something that we can
- be doing while we're waiting?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Or would you rather just wait?
- 15 MS. LANCASTER: Hold on a second. I just want to
- make sure there aren't any other submissions that we need
- 17 to --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Romney, did you pull up
- 19 those pages?
- MR. ROMNEY: I don't know what pages they are.
- MS. LANCASTER: Page 93.
- MR. ROMNEY: I'm sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I assume they're the ones we
- 24 were talking about yesterday.
- MS. LANCASTER: It has to do with the documents to